

**DOCTORAL DISSERTATION ON
THE EMPLOYMENT OF FALSE WITNESS IN THE
FURTHERANCE OF THE CAUSE OF THE
CHRIST CULT**

by WR Snelson

ABSTRACT

Hello, my name is WR Snelson, and I have recently been able to make some very interesting observations which I'd like to share with you today.

It has always fascinated me that so many people who carry the title Rev or Holy Father or, in particular, Rev Doctor, demonstrate a very peculiar phenomenon which is the subject of my very excellent dissertation. The phenomenon which I speak of is this...

Proposition 1: In order to gain any title such as those listed below (source bibliographical citation: Wikipedia - thanks guys!), one might expect that the title holder would be required to spend at least a modicum of time in formal theological training.
= In fact this is the case for many if not all of the titles listed below.

Proposition 2: So one might also expect that during the course of this training the various denominational intricacies and biblical inconsistencies might be highlighted to a much higher degree than from that understood by Joe Bloggs on the street.
= In fact in pretty much all evangelical and mainline protestant and catholic seminaries it is not only par for the course but recommended that their students cover amongst other things the historicity of the bible in very great detail using such sources as Bart Ehrman's text book on Christianity, such material which flows from the Jesus Seminar, and countless other prominent christian historiographers, that Joe Bloggs would not have a hope in hell of being acquainted with.

Proposition 3: Given all the time spent going over all the arguments one might expect that the prospective title holder gets acquainted with the enormous heap of inconsistencies and untruths expounded within the covers of the bible that they begin to question how much of this material might be counterproductive for public consumption.
= Very few if any title bearers expose any of this information which leads us to induce that the title holder is either unaware they exist (unlikely per Proposition 1 & 2) or they are deliberately withholding this from the public.


Conclusion: The more time spent acquainting oneself with formal theological arguments expounded in sources covered during training the more the title holder is forced to bear false witness if his/her objective is the furtherance of the christ cult.

citation: Wikipedia

Abbeys • Abbot • Archbishop • Archdeacon • Blessed • Bishop • Brother • Cantor • Cardinal • Catholicos • Chaplain • Deacon • Dean • Demiurge • Elder • Father • Friar • Messiah • Minister • Monsignor • Mother Superior • Pastor • Patriarch • Pope • President, especially in The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints • Primate • Prophet • Reverend • Saint • Sister • Venerable

INTRODUCTION

In order to demonstrate this dissertations conclusion here is a graphical representation of the formal logical argument.



OK WHAT IF THAT'S NOT THE CASE

If you are reading this dissertation and thinking to yourself: "well what if P1 is not the case"

What does this mean? It means that the title holder received his/her title without any method of objectively 'earning' it, and it was simply accepted, in which case anyone can use this title without having to earn it.

Then consider asking: "well what if P1 is true but P2 is not the case"

What does this mean? It means that the title holder has simply not covered their subject matter in detail and is therefore guilty of the 'Hovind approach' to subject matter qualification, i.e. 'make it up if you don't know the fact. Clearly this is unacceptable too.

Then consider asking: "well what if P1 & P2 are correct but P3 is not the case"

What does this mean? It means the title holder should probably be wearing a straight jacket or to a lesser extent is suffering from serious cognitive dissonance, which is also not an acceptable intellectually honest position to take.

No, it is quite clear from this inductive argument that christ cult title holders are simply professional false witness bearers, and we should not trust them as far as we can throw them, not that we shouldn't consider throwing and their strange beliefs onto the pile of silly ideas accumulated throughout our long human history.

If you are a title holder I challenge you to consider whether you are being a filthy false witness bearer milking every opportunity to gain respect by despicable methods or whether my argument above is somehow flawed...

Love the show guys keep it up